App.F.IX-4]

1925.

File No. 175-25

Previous References. (Mysore)

Mys 142-21-1-2

Serial Nos./ 2.

Later references.

8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2—

Part B for January 1926

SUBJECT

Requests sanction for the recovery from the P.W.D. and Gardens Deptt. of the value of the carving stones of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Seringapatam utilized by the said Departments

Ref 755 dated 28.1.26 to the Mys. Comr

As the question of payment of the cost of the stones to the temple was not raised at the time of their removal, there is no need to consider it now

S

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(May)

NOTES.

File No. 179-25

FILE HEADING.

Recovery of the cost of the railing stones of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam taken by the P W. D and Gardens Departments from these Departments.

From

The Muzrai Comr in Mysore

No. C. 570 of 25-6 Dated 6.1.26 Received

Diary No. 757	Enclos ~~es~~ ~~fols~~ Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction of Govt for the recovery from the P.W.D and Gardens Depts of the value of the railing stones of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam utilized by the said Departments

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In compliance with the request made by the P. S. to H. H. in his D o. letter no 23821, to allow the Executive Engr, Mysore Division, to remove the railing stones round the temple at Seringapatam, a D^o. 2921 was issued to the Exec. Engr

B

Serial No

requesting him to authorize the Ex: Engr to remove the stones & use them elsewhere. A copy of it does not appear to have been sent to the Muz. Supdt or D. C. Mysore. The Secretary has made a note to the effect that the stones belong to the temple. The question of paying their cost was not raised & decided then.

2. From the correspondence now read with the N. C's letter it will be seen that out of 116 stones 85 were used on the approach road to Daria Dowlat Bagh which is outside the premises of the gardens ~~and~~ ~~that~~ The ~~Asst~~ Gardens Supdt states that the stones may remain as the property of the P. W. D, that his Department cannot pay for them & that either the P. W. D or Muz: should pay the cost.

C

3 ~~The~~ ~~Ex~~ On the other hand, the Ex: Engr. states that the Gardens Deptt which utilized the stones should pay their cost.

Q

4 The Sub-overseer Narasinga Rao states in his letter that the stones were removed from the temple compound to Daria Dowlat Bhag by Contractor Rudriah under the orders of the P. S. to H. H.

E

5 As the Ex: Engr. states that the stones have been utilized by the Gardens Supdt and the latter states that he cannot

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

NOTE—Cover Sheet

751

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 179 of 1925. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No

accept the bill, ~~it is~~ the points for consideration and orders are (1) whether the cost of the stones viz. Rs 676 should be recovered and (2) if so from what Deptt whether Gardens or P W D or both.

6 Both the Dy: & Mag: Comrs request sanction to recover the cost from the Departments concerned.

Subd for orders

18.1.26 The stones belong to the temple and cost nearly Rs 700. The amt should be paid by the Deptt which utilised them. The Deptt of Govt Gardens says that 85 stones were utilised for improving the appearance of the Dewa Dondat Bazar, and the remaining stones for the temple premises. The Gardens deptt should pay the cost of the 85 stones which it has taken viz 85×6 = 570 Rs. & the other that the remaining stones were ~~used~~ required for improving the temple premises should be verified & if this is true, the cost ~~will be borne from the P W D~~

9. Orders on a sum of Rs 570 Rs is due to the temple from the Gardens Deptt according to the admission of the Secretary of that Deptt and the remaining Rs 676 from the P W D.

RL 18.1.26.

LSD 21.6 6.6

NOTE—Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192 .

Gene

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheo

Serial No

from the D.C's letter (P.Z)

10. It is seen that in all 116 railing stones of the Temple, have been removed, viz 116 x 6 = Rs 696/- and that 85 stones used by the Gardens Dept. & the remaining 31 used by the P.W.D. & the D.P.W. (i.e., Executive Engr.) states that the stones were taken & used by the Gardens Dept. & should be paid for, on the other hand the Supdt. of the Gardens Dept. states that, for the [illegible] 85 stones were used, the Dasia Dolat Dept. & denies its liability to pay the cost. So, clearly the Gardens Dept. should be asked to pay the cost of 85 stones, viz, 85 x 6 = Rs 510/-.

11. As regards the other 31 stones, the Gardens Dept. states that the P.W.D. may perhaps be called upon to pay. Here is also the other point in this case, the P.W.D. stating that the P.W.D. Contractors are removing fencing stones. (P.W).

12. The stones being the Temple Property, their cost has to be paid between the P.W.D. & the Gardens Dept.

Rev. See 7.)

13 The Chief Engineer may be consulted, please.

14. (1st memo) As the Executive Engr says the cost of the stones of the temple has not been realised so far, & there remains no chance of its realisation hereafter, the matter can be written off as lost. P.W.D.

4 Sec 20.1

Submitted please

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192

W

G Sec

Serial No.

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—1

[App. F.

File No. 179 of 1925.

or

Serial No 157

Draft, as per orders, is put up.

22/1/26 22/1/26 (b) For approval

Rev. Sec'y - 24/1

(7) Issue 24/1

2. To. mur Commr.

No 958 & 28.1.26.

States that as the question of payment of the cost of stones to the temple was not raised at the time of removal of the stones there is no need to consider the question now.

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

266 d

Mus. 179-25-1

No. C.570 of 25-6 — Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

one to one file

Dated Bangalore the 2nd January 1926.

4



ST
5/1/26

From B. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, B A N G A L O R E.

To B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for orders of Government copy of letter C.No.243/21-22 dated 14th Instant together with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, requesting me to obtain sanction of Government for recovering the cost of the railing stones of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam taken by the Public Works and the Gardens Departments from these Departments.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

S Balasundaramiyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

BR.

Mu

Letter No. C.243/21-22 dated 12/14th December 1925 from the
y Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
galore.

I have the honor to state that the Secretaryx to Government Muzrai Department in letter No.1252/Muz.142-21-2 dated 2nd September 1921 addresse to the Chief Engineer in Mysore, Bangalorexxxxxx authorised the removal of the railing stones round the Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Seeringapatam to improve the appearance of the locality and use them elsewhere. The stones numbering 116 were removed by the Public Works Departmentand out of them 85 are used by the gardens Department on the approach road to Daria Dowlat Bagh and the rest Viz. 31 are used by the Public Works Department.

The Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, who was requested to pay the cost of the railinf stones, states that all of them have been taken by the Gardens Department and that Department should pay the cost.

The Superintendent of Government Gardens states that only 85 stones are used on the approach road to Daria Dowlat Bagh which is outside the premises of the garden and that the stones were removed at the request of the Secretary to Government Muzrai Department to improve the appearance of the grounds of the temple, he cannot accept the bill of cost.

Asxxx the stones in question belong to the Sri Ra ganathaswami temple at Seeringapatam, I request that sanction of Government may be obtained for getting the amount from the Departments concerned. Records accompany.

" C O P Y "

For Muzrai Commissioner.

BR.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to....7 S.1....

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mur)
the 192

Date of issue...28/1/26

Signed by...Asst N...

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....27/1/26.....

Section Mur

File No. 179-25

Seria No. 2

No. 955 Ens one file

To The Munsif Court in Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C.570 of 25-26 dt 2/1-1-26 in the matter of recovering the cost of the railing stones of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam utilized by the P.W and Gardens Departments, from these Departments, I am directed to state that, as the question of ~~recovery~~ payment of the cost of the stones to the temple was not raised at the time of their removal, there is no need to consider it now.

2. The enclosure is returned.

over

I have etc
28/1

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

22/1/26

27/1

Secretary
Rev: Dept.
27-1-26